

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Kevin A. Kelly	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,487	11/11/2003		D24-le	5112
7590 04/26/2006			EXAMINER	
Eugene F. Friedman			NGUYEN, TAM M	
FRIEDMAN & FRIEDMAN, LTD. The 566 West Adams Building - Suite 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
566 West Adams Street Chicago, IL 60661			3764	
			DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/705,	487	KELLY ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Tam Ng		3764				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on t	he cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN ASSISTED AS A STATE OF THE MAN AS A STATE OF THE MAN ASSISTED AS A STATE OF THE MAN AS A STATE OF THE MAN ASSISTED AS A STATE OF THE MAN AS A STATE OF THE	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e inication. utory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUN event, however, may will expire SIX (6) Mo pplication to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 February 2006</u> .							
<i>'</i> —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>102-111,193 and 194</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>110,193,194</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>102-109 and 111</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	a)							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* (application from the Internation	,	* **	at received				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
					_			
				ITTO A AF POLICE PROPERTY.	$2\mathcal{S}$			
Attachment(s) JEROME DONNELLY PRIMARY EXAMINER								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	FO 0.40)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F			of Informal Patent Application (PT	ГО-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	- ·,	6) Other: _					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 102, 104, 106-109 and 111 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 102:

On line 1 is the phrase "the flow of blood". It should be restated as --blood flow-On line 10, is the word "resultants". It should be restated as --force resultants-- to
correspond to the specification.

On line 11, is the phrase "the direction". It should be restated as --directions-- to clarify the subject matter.

On line 14, is the word "converter". It should be restated as --actuator-- to clarify the subject matter.

On line 16, is the word "direction". It should be restated as --directions-- to clarify the subject matter.

In Claim 104, on line 3, is the word "resultant". It should be restated as --force resultant-- to correspond to the specification.

In Claim 106:

On lines 3-4, is the phrase "base outer". It should be restated as --first-- to clarify the subject matter.

On line 4, is the word "apparatus". It should be restated as --second-- to clarify the subject matter.

In Claim 107:

Art Unit: 3764

On lines 3-4, is the phrase "base outer". It should be restated as --first-- to clarify the subject matter.

On line 4, is the word "apparatus". It should be restated as --second-- to clarify the subject matter.

In Claim 108:

On line 2, is the phrase "to said to said". It should be restated as --to said--.

On line 3, is the phrase "said two said two". It should be restated as -said two--.

On line 4, is the word "direction". It should be restated as --directions-- to clarify the subject matter.

In Claim 109, on line 2, is the word "direction". It should be restated as --directions-- to clarify the subject matter.

In Claim 111, on line 2, is the phrase "a double sided ratchet". It should be restated as --part of a double-sided ratchet-- to clarify the subject matter.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 110, 193 and 194 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use

Art Unit: 3764

the invention. Regarding claim 110, neither the specification, claims nor the drawings disclose how the belt can be tightened "around said patient non-proportionally to the magnitude of the movement of said actuator toward said chest". Regarding claim 193, there is no support in the application for a detector for determining when the force converter has converted a force to the actuator. Additionally, there is no support for the disclosure that the defibrillator is coupled to said detector to induce an electric current when the force converter has converted a force applied to said actuator. Regarding claim 194, there is no support in the application that the detector determines when the force converter has converted a maximal force to the actuator. Furthermore, there is no support for the disclosure that the defibrillator is coupled to said detector to induce an electric current when the force converter has converted a maximal force applied to said actuator.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 110,193 and 194 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tam Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4979. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,487 Page 5

Art Unit: 3764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 19, 2006

JEROME DONNELLY (
PRIMARY EXAMINER